



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,040	12/28/2001	Gary Solomon	42390.P13767	1949	
7590 08/17/2005 BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ABRAHAM, ESAW T		
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			2133		
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/041,040	SOLOMON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Esaw T. Abraham	2133
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the country	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>15 June 2005</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-24</u> is/are pending in	the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	• •	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-24</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 200</u>		objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawin	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reian priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	ot received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	B) Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	B/08) 5) ☐ Notice o 6) ☐ Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050805

HC

Application/Control Number: 10/041,040 Page 2

Art Unit: 2133

Final office action

Response to the applicant's argument

Applicants' argument with respect to amended claims 1-13 and 15-24 filled in 06/15/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner would like to point out that this action is made final (MPEP 706.07a).

The applicant argues that the prior art Doiron does not teach a transmitter (requesting device) to transmit a packet for a request transaction that does not expect a successful completion or that does not expect unsuccessful completion (expect a successful completion) and a receiver (a completing device) to return or transmit a message to the transmitter if the request transaction is unsuccessful. However, Doiron in figure 1 teaches data packets transmitted from the transmission unit (requesting device) (12) to the receiving unit (a completing device) (14) through a data path. Further, Doiron teaches an ARQ protocol employed between the sender and receiver so that when data is received incorrectly (a message with an error) and cannot be correctly reconstructed the receiver transmits a negative acknowledgment (NAK) over the network (see col. 5, lines 16-19). Therefore, the applicants' argument although acknowledged, has not been found to be convincing.

In response to the applicant's argument that the reference fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (" in one embodiment the present invention in pages 19 and 20 or figures 12 and 13 teaches if the completion status is other than "successful completion" then the value of the completer ID field is recorded, the value is stored in a register and a received unsuccessful completion bit is set in a register in the requesting device (see pages 19 and 20") are not recited in the rejected claims(s).

Art Unit: 2133

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitation from the specification is not read into the claims. See *in re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

1. Claims 1-13 and 15-24 remains pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-13 and 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Doiron (U.S. PN: 5,968,197).

As per claims 1, 7, 13 and 18, Doiron in figure 1 teaches or discloses a data communications network which includes a sending unit (12) for sending packets of data over a network bus (16) to a receiving unit (14) whereby both units include transceivers (24) and (30) for communicating packet information over the network bus 16. Doiron further teach an automatic repeat request (ARQ) protocol is employed between sender and receiver so that when a data unit is received correctly by the receiving unit, the receiving unit transmits an acknowledgment (ACK) back to the sending unit and if the data unit is received incorrectly (unsuccessfully) and cannot be correctly reconstructed, the receiving unit transmits a negative acknowledgment (NAK) to the sending unit (see col. 4, last paragraph and figure 2 steps 40-44). Although, Doiron does not explicitly teach a transmitting device that does not expect a

Art Unit: 2133

completion acknowledgment for a request transaction, the practice is inherent for the system of Doiron because by virtue of the fact any transmitting device can transmit successful or unsuccessful messages depending on the system's configuration and if the transmitting device transmits unsuccessful messages the receiving device must retransmit a NAK message and if the transmitting device transmits successful messages the receiving device must retransmit an ACK message.

As per claims 2-3, 8-9, 19 and 20, Doiron teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim 1, 7, 13 and 18 including Doiron in figure 3A teaches a data format where data is sent in units and the message may begin with a header portion of bits allocated to any number of functions including start of a data message, sender and/or receiver address information, length of the message, etc (see col. 5, last paragraph).

As per claims **4**, **10**, **17** and **21**, Doiron teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim 1, 7, and 13 including Doiron teach that the receiving unit transmits an acknowledgment (ACK) back to the sending unit and if the data unit is received incorrectly (unsuccessfully) and cannot be correctly reconstructed, the receiving unit transmits a negative acknowledgment (NAK) to the sending unit (see col. 4, last paragraph and figure 2 steps 40-44).

As per claims 5, 11, 15 and 22, Doiron teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim 1, 7, 13 and 18 including Doiron teach that the receiving unit transmits an acknowledgment (ACK) back to the sending unit and if the data unit is received incorrectly or received undefined error messages and cannot be correctly reconstructed, the receiving unit transmits a negative acknowledgment (NAK) to the sending unit (see col. 4, last paragraph and figure 2 steps 40-44).

Application/Control Number: 10/041,040 Page 5

Art Unit: 2133

As per claims 6, 12, 16, 23 and 24, Doiron teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim 1, 7, 13 and 18 including Doiron teach that the receiving unit transmits an acknowledgment (ACK) back to the sending unit and if the data unit is received incorrectly or received malformed error messages and cannot be correctly reconstructed, the receiving unit transmits a negative acknowledgment (NAK) to the sending unit (see col. 4, last paragraph and figure 2 steps 40-44).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Esaw Abraham whose telephone number is (571) 272-3812. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/041,040

Art Unit: 2133

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are successful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an Application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or PUBLIC PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private Pair only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Esaw Abraham

Art unit: 2133

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/041,040

Art Unit: 2133

Page 7